SURVEILLANCE

Epidemiology, surveillance and diagnosis of Usutu virus infection in the EU/EEA, 2012 to 2021

Giorgia Angeloni^{1,*}, Michela Bertola^{1,*}, Elena Lazzaro¹, Matteo Morini¹, Giulia Masi², Alessandro Sinigaglia², Marta Trevisan², Céline M. Gossner³, Joana M. Haussig³, Tamas Bakonyi³, Gioia Capelli^{1,**}, Luisa Barzon^{2,**}

1. Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Viale dell'Università 10, Legnaro (Padua), Italy

- 2. Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Padua, Via Gabelli 63, Padua (Padua), Italy
- 3. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), Solna, Sweden * These authors contributed equally to the work and share first authorship.
- ** These authors contributed equally to the work and share last authorship

Correspondence: Luisa Barzon (luisa.barzon@unipd.it)

Citation style for this article:

Angeloni Giorgia, Bertola Michela, Lazzaro Elena, Morini Matteo, Masi Giulia, Sinigaglia Alessandro, Trevisan Marta, Gossner Céline M., Haussig Joana M., Bakonyi Tamas, Capelli Gioia, Barzon Luisa. Epidemiology, surveillance and diagnosis of Usutu virus infection in the EU/EEA, 2012 to 2021. Euro Surveill. 2023;28(33):pii=2200929. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2023.28.33.2200929

Article submitted on 03 Dec 2022 / accepted on 08 May 2023 / published on 17 Aug 2023

Background: Usutu virus (USUV) is a flavivirus with an enzootic cycle between birds and mosquitoes; humans are incidental dead-end hosts. In Europe, the virus was first detected in Italy in 1996; since then, it has spread to many European countries. Aim: We aimed to report on the epidemiology, surveillance, diagnosis and prevention of USUV infection in humans, mosquitoes and other animals in the European Union/ European Economic Area (EU/EEA) from 2012 to 2021. Methods: We collected information through a literature review, an online survey and an expert meeting. Results: Eight countries reported USUV infection in humans (105 cases, including 12* with neurological symptoms), 15 countries in birds and seven in mosquitoes. Infected animals were also found among pets, wild and zoo animals. Usutu virus was detected primarily in Culex pipiens but also in six other mosquito species. Detection of USUV infection in humans is notifiable only in Italy, where it is under surveillance since 2017 and now integrated with surveillance in animals in a One Health approach. Several countries include USUV infection in the differential diagnosis of viral encephalitis and arbovirus infections. Animal **USUV** infection is not notifiable in any **EU/EEA** country. **Conclusion:** Human USUV infections, mainly asymptomatic and, less frequently, with a febrile illness or a neuroinvasive disease, have been reported in several EU/EEA countries, where the virus is endemic. Climate and environmental changes are expected to affect the epidemiology of USUV. A One Health approach could improve the monitoring of its evolution in Europe.

Introduction

Usutu virus (USUV) is a flavivirus of African origin transmitted mainly by mosquitoes of Culex species (spp.) to multiple bird species, which act as amplifying hosts. In Europe, this virus was first detected in 1996

in Italy, where it caused an outbreak among birds [1]. Conceivably, the virus spread from Italy to the neighbouring countries and is now present in many western, southern and central European countries, where it has been detected in humans, vertebrate hosts and/or mosquitoes [2]. Phylogenetic analyses grouped USUV strains into eight distinct lineages, i.e. Africa 1-3 and Europe 1-5, of which Europe 2 lineage is the most commonly detected in European countries [2]. Usutu virus often co-circulates with West Nile virus (WNV) in a potentially overlapping geographic and ecological range (i.e. avian hosts and mosquitoes), sharing the same environment [3].

Usutu virus causes mortality in several wild bird species, mainly in Eurasian blackbird (Turdus merula) and great grey owl (Strix nebulosa) [4,5]. Infected mosquitoes can transmit the virus to humans and other mammals, which represent dead-end hosts. Direct human-to-human transmission may potentially occur through infectious substances of human origin (SoHO)

Most USUV infections in humans are asymptomatic and most notified infections have been incidentally detected through screening of asymptomatic blood donors by WNV nucleic acid amplification tests (NATs) that cross-react with USUV [6,7]. Notably, in some areas, asymptomatic USUV infections may be more common than WNV infections, as documented by longitudinal testing of blood donors in the Netherlands [8] or by serosurveys in Italy and France [7,9,10]. A few cases of symptomatic USUV infections have been reported in immunocompromised and/or elderly individuals with neurological symptoms [11-16] or with febrile illness

KEY PUBLIC HEALTH MESSAGE

What did you want to address in this study?

Usutu virus (USUV) is widespread in Europe, where it circulates between birds and mosquitoes. Its impact for human health should be better assessed. We collected information on USUV epidemiology, surveillance, diagnosis and preventive measures through literature review, an online survey and an expert meeting.

What have we learnt from this study?

From 2012 to 2021, ca 100 human cases of USUV infection were reported in several EU/EEA countries where the virus is present. Most of those infected had no or only mild symptoms (fever) and rarely neurological involvement. Usutu virus had less public health impact than West Nile virus, which caused outbreaks of encephalitis during the same period. Infection with USUV was also detected in several mosquito and bird species, as well as in horses and other mammals.

What are the implications of your findings for public health?

To date, USUV is not a major public health threat in the EU/EEA but monitoring virus circulation and its pathogenicity is important to early detect any change in the epidemiology of the disease. Improvements in monitoring might be achieved with a common case definition of USUV infection in the EU/EEA, and with an integrated approach, including humans, animals and vectors in USUV surveillance.

Laboratory diagnosis of USUV infection in humans is based on demonstration of a specific antibody response against the virus, detection of viral RNA and virus isolation in cell culture from body fluids. The presence of USUV RNA has been demonstrated in blood, urine and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients with acute infection [13-15]. Diagnosing USUV infection in humans is challenging because of the cross-reactivity of antibodies with other related flaviviruses, such as WNV. Thus, neutralisation assays against both viruses need to be run in parallel for confirmation [15,17].

Knowledge on the epidemiology and impact of USUV infection on human health would be helpful for public health authorities to decide on the possible implementation of surveillance and control measures. Here, we provide an update on the following aspects of USUV in the EU/EEA countries: (i) the epidemiological situation in humans and animals; (ii) the surveillance systems in place; (iii) the diagnostic capability and the main methods applied for laboratory diagnosis and (iv) the preventive measures for the safety of SoHO supply.

Methods

Data and information on USUV epidemiology, surveillance and diagnosis in 30 EU/EEA during the period 2012 to 2021 were collected through:

(i) a review of peer-reviewed articles reporting USUV detection in humans, vertebrate animals and mosquitoes from 2012 to 2021 using five search engines and platforms (PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase and CAB-abstracts);

(ii) an online survey distributed to the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) National Focal Points (NFP) for Emerging and Vector-borne Diseases (EVDs), European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) NFP and SoHO national competent authorities in the period from July to September 2021. The ECDC NFPs are official representatives of the national public health institutes and the EFSA NFPs are official representatives of the food safety and/or the veterinary authorities or institutes in the EU/EEA countries. The EFSA NFPs were requested to forward the survey to the National Competent Veterinary Authority.

(iii) a technical stakeholder meeting involving representatives from national veterinary and public health institutes and SoHO authorities in addition to invited scientific experts. The aim of the meeting was to share and clarify the information collected though the questionnaire.

Although UK was an EU/EEA country until the end of 2020, this country was not included in the online survey and the technical stakeholder meeting, which were conducted in 2021–2022.

More details on the methods and the research strings used in the review are available in the Supplement.

Results

Epidemiological situation

Data on USUV in humans, mosquitoes and other animals derived from the survey and the peer-review literature were compared and merged in order to have the most complete information.

Cases of Usutu virus infection in humans, EU/EEA countries, 2012–2021 (n = 105)



EU/EEA: European Union/European Economic Area

Human infections

Based on this compiled information, during the period 2012 to 2021, 105 autochthonous cases of USUV infection were diagnosed in humans in Austria, Croatia, Czechia, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy and the Netherlands (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Most cases occurred in Italy (n = 56), Austria (n = 27) and the Netherlands (n=11). Reports on USUV infection in humans have almost doubled (n=70) from 2017 to 2021, mainly due to the upsurge of cases in 2018, in line with the increase of human cases of WNV [15,18,19]. Cases of USUV infection were identified in retrospective studies of persons with neuroinvasive diseases [14], in surveys of blood donors [7,8], via routine diagnostics in patients with neurological symptoms or unexplained fever [13,15,16,19] and by differential diagnosis by NAT screening of blood donors testing positive for WNV [3,6,15,17,18,20]. Twelve cases from Austria, Croatia, Czechia, France, Hungary and Italy had neurological symptoms, eight Italian cases had a febrile illness and the remaining 85 cases were asymptomatic individuals, mainly blood donors. None of the cases with USUV neuroinvasive disease was immunocompromised.

Infections in animals

Fifteen EU countries (Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Czechia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain) reported USUV circulation among vertebrate animals, mostly in birds by detection of the virus and/or anti-USUV antibodies and occasionally in equids using serology (Figure 2) [3,4,10,21-30]. According to our survey, all countries reporting human cases had also evidence of USUV circulation among birds and/or mosquitoes (Figure 2). Usutu virus was detected in 11 countries in resident or captive wild birds and in 10 countries in migratory birds. Specifically, the most affected wild migratory and/or resident bird species (sp.) were Passeriformes (mainly Turdus sp., particularly Eurasian blackbird, barn swallow, European goldfinch), Accipitriformes (common buzzard, hawk, goshawk), Strigiformes (owls) and Columbiformes (common wood pigeons, collar dove) [28,31-33]. Infection with USUV may cause outbreaks and lead to high mortality in captive zoo birds that thus can be useful sentinels for early detection of the virus circulation. Surveys among zoo birds demonstrated high seroprevalence of

Usutu virus infections in humans and in animals, EU/EEA countries, 2012-2021



EU/EEA: European Union/European Economic Area; USUV: Usutu virus.

Blue colour indicates detection by molecular methods, green colour indicates detection by serology, grey colour indicates not specified method for detection.

USUV in common peafowls, emus and greater rheas in France [34]; antibodies against USUV were detected in pelicans, Eurasian eagle owls, barn and snowy owls in Slovenia [35] and in a greater rhea in Spain [36]. In Germany, a nationwide bird surveillance network has been established to monitor zoonotic arbovirus infections (with focus on USUV and WNV) in migratory and resident wild birds, including captive birds [28,31]. Via this surveillance activity, between 2019 and 2020, USUV RNA was detected in 1.2-2.7% of live wild birds and in 2.8-12.2% of dead birds from all regions of the country. Among captive birds, USUV RNA was detected in several owls, in Harris's hawk, steppe eagle, Eurasian capercaillie, black-tailed gull, red-breasted goose and in a Penguin sp [28]. In seven EU/EEA countries, USUV was detected in other animals than birds and equids, i.e. in pets, wild and zoo animals (details in Figure 2).

Usutu virus was detected in mosquitoes in Austria, Croatia, Czechia, France, Italy, the Netherlands and Slovakia [2,10,24,37-39]. In these countries, the virus was mostly detected in *Culex pipiens* (Austria, Croatia, Czechia, France, Germany, Italy, Slovakia), but also in *Cx. modestus* (Czechia, Slovakia), *Aedes albopictus* (Italy), *Ae. japonicus* (Austria), *Anopheles hyrcanus* (Slovakia), *An. maculipennis* s.l. (Slovakia) and *Ochlerotatus caspius* (Italy).

Surveillance

Human surveillance

We collected information on USUV surveillance activities implemented in the EU/EEA. The following countries responded to the survey on USUV surveillance in humans: Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Czechia,

Case definition of Usutu virus infection and laboratory criteria for confirmation in humans

Italy:

• A case of USUV infection is confirmed in individuals with or without symptoms, by virus isolation and/or nucleic acid detection from any clinical specimen, detection of USUV-specific IgM antibodies in CSF, detection of USUV IgM antibodies at high titre and IgG antibodies in serum, confirmed by a neutralisation assay. Any person with fever or neurological manifestations (encephalitis, meningitis with clear CSF, polyradiculoneuritis, or acute flaccid paralysis) and USUV-specific IgM response in serum, without any confirmation by neutralisation assay, is classified as a probable USUV case.

Germany:

• A case of USUV infection is defined by detection of USUV RNA or USUV-specific antibodies, confirmed by neutralisation assay.

Greece:

• A case of USUV infection is defined by virus isolation and/or nucleic acid detection from any clinical specimen, demonstration of increased titre of antibodies in serum, or detection of USUV-specific IgM antibodies in CSF.

Norway:

• Criteria for notification are laboratory detection of USUV in CSF by isolation or nucleic acid detection or detection of specific antibody response in serum and/or CSF.

CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; USUV: Usutu virus.

Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain. According to the survey, USUV infection in humans, whether symptomatic or asymptomatic, was only notifiable in Italy. In particular, since 2017, Italy implemented a joint USUV and WNV surveillance integrated in a One Health approach, i.e. targeting humans, birds, equids and mosquitoes [40]. The goal of the USUV surveillance in Italy is to identify areas at high risk of human disease and to activate control measures [40]. In Greece and Norway, USUV infection is not specifically mentioned as notifiable but is included in the notifiable category "arboviral encephalitis" and "viral infections of the central nervous system", respectively. Although not within national surveillance, some EU/EEA countries routinely include USUV testing in patients suspected of viral meningitis or encephalitis (Croatia, Germany, Greece and Norway) and in the differential diagnosis for confirmation of probable WNV cases and WNV NAT-positive blood donors (Austria, Croatia, Czechia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Liechtenstein, the Netherlands, Slovenia and Spain). In Germany, Greece, Italy and Norway national case definitions for USUV infection in humans have been developed, described in the Box.

Animal surveillance

The following countries responded to the survey on USUV surveillance in animals: Austria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain. Results showed that, in animals, USUV infection is not notifiable at a national level in any EU/EEA country. Surveillance of USUV in animals has been implemented in seven EU countries (Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Luxembourg and Spain), while in Finland and the Netherlands, USUV surveys have been carried out through specific research projects.

The target species of USUV surveillance in animals vary between countries. In Denmark, Germany and Luxembourg only birds are included whereas both birds and other animals (i.e. wild boars, roe deer, cattle and equids) are included in Italy and France. In addition, there are differences among the bird species investigated: only wild birds in France and Luxembourg and both wild and domestic birds in Denmark, Germany and Italy.

Only Italy has developed a national case definition for USUV infection in animals, which includes detection of USUV IgM and IgG antibodies in serum by ELISA, confirmed by a serum neutralisation assay. The case definition also covers detection of USUV RNA in mosquito

pools or organs or blood samples of birds, either captured or found dead, by the local animal health units, which are the competent authority and confirmed by national reference laboratories.

Ten EU countries collect data on USUV and WNV in mosquitoes. In seven countries, i.e. Austria, Croatia, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Italy and the Netherlands, data on USUV infection in mosquitoes are collected through national surveillance activities. Three countries, i.e. France, Slovakia and Slovenia, collect data on WNV/USUV infection in mosquitoes through recurring or occasional research projects carried out by universities and national research institutes.

Diagnostic capability and diagnosis

Twenty EU/EEA countries (Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Czechia, Estonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Malta, the Netherlands, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain) have the laboratory capability to diagnose USUV infection in humans, mostly by the national reference laboratories. The differential diagnosis between WNV and other flavivirus infections, including USUV, is part of routine practice in WNV NAT-positive SoHO donors in seven countries (i.e. Austria, Czechia, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands and Slovakia). The laboratory tests used in the EU/EEA countries to detect USUV include USUV-specific PCR or broad-range panflavivirus PCR and sequencing and viral isolation in cell culture from blood, urine, CSF and any other patient samples. Serological diagnosis relies on the detection of USUV-specific antibody response by immunoassay or the detection of flavivirus antibody response using cross-reactive serological methods. Both serological tests require confirmation by neutralisation assay.

Similarly, the laboratory tests used in 20 EU/EEA countries (Austria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain) to identify USUV infection in animals include USUV-specific PCR or broad-range pan-flavivirus PCR and sequencing from blood, tissue and/or CSF. Serological diagnosis can be performed through the detection of USUV specific antibody response or the flavivirus antibody response using other cross-reactive serological methods (e.g. haemagglutination-inhibition, indirect immunofluorescence), followed by a neutralisation assay.

Safety of substances of human origin

None of the EU/EEA countries in our study had implemented USUV-specific SoHO safety measures to prevent USUV transmission via SoHO donations. However, countries performing WNV NAT screening of SoHO donors can also detect USUV infection and thus exclude USUV-positive donations from transfusions or transplantation. So far, no donor-derived USUV infections have been reported.

Discussion

According to our study, between 2012 and 2021, half of the EU/EEA countries reported circulation of USUV in humans and animals. Outside the EU/EEA, USUV circulation was reported in the UK [41,42], Switzerland [43] and Serbia [44], during the same period. In addition, since the grey literature was not included in our search, we cannot exclude that the other European countries reported USUV circulation.

These results may pose questions about the impact of USUV infection on human health, including the potential risk of virus transmission through SoHO donations. However, the incidence of USUV infection in humans cannot be easily determined, since most infections are asymptomatic or with mild and nonspecific symptoms, while central nervous system involvement is rare. Moreover, in most EU/EEA countries, USUV infection in humans is not under surveillance and USUV testing is not routinely performed in patients with neurological symptoms or suspected arbovirus infection. Therefore, the diagnosed USUV human infections conceivably represent only the tip of the iceberg. Retrospective serological studies of healthy individuals and WNV NAT screenings of blood donors revealed that humans might be exposed to USUV infection more than expected and, in some circumstances, the likelihood of USUV infection might be even higher than of WNV infection, as described in studies and reports from Austria, France, Italy and the Netherlands [8-10,18]. On the other hand, USUV is less pathogenic for humans than WNV, as indicated by the markedly fewer reported neuroinvasive infections caused by USUV than WNV [10]. In Italy, where both USUV and WNV are, since 2017, included in a joint surveillance programme, the number of reported cases of WNV neuroinvasive infections and the ratio of WNV neuroinvasive cases of all diagnosed WNV cases, have been markedly higher than the USUV-related cases [15]. Low pathogenicity of USUV was also suggested in a retrospective investigation of three recipients of erythrocytes and platelets from USUV-positive donors, as none developed symptoms or seroconverted [8]. However, lack of seroconversion or asymptomatic infections have been also reported in some SoHO recipients of WNV-positive donors, although other SoHO recipients of the same donors developed encephalitis [45,46].

Experimental studies in vitro and in animal models confirmed USUV had lower virulence than WNV, but USUV was able to invade the brain and replicate in neural cells [47-50]. However, since pathogenicity may vary among USUV strains, as shown in experimental mice models [51], close monitoring of USUV incursions and evolution by full genome sequencing and studies in experimental models to determine genotype-phenotype correlates of pathogenicity are warranted.

Knowledge of USUV ecology, including reservoir species, competent vectors, interaction with WNV and the impact of climate factors on viral transmission cycle

would also be crucial to estimate the epidemic potential of the virus. At least 58 bird species from 13 orders have been found infected with USUV in continental Europe [28,31-36]. Recognised reservoirs (i.e. species that are able to maintain, or significantly contribute to maintaining the virus in nature or contribute to the virus circulation) and amplifying hosts (i.e. a host in which the virus multiplies rapidly to high levels, providing an important source of infection for vectors) of USUV are especially in the orders Passeriformes and Strigiformes. The available epidemiological data provide little information on the potential role of many other bird species as reservoirs and/or amplifying hosts of the virus, as well as on the relevance in USUV life cycle of other mammal species in European countries. It is important to correctly target the animal species with higher prevalence of the infection in the surveillance programmes. The target species may vary between countries.

The geographical distribution and ecological cycle of USUV largely overlap that of WNV, but few studies have tried to understand how co-infections or subsequent infections within the same host or vector affect the immune response and susceptibility to subsequent infection or vector competence. Virus co-circulation also impacts diagnostics because of the difficulties to distinguish between the two viruses. Finally, virus cocirculation might affect virus evolution and geographical distribution under host selection pressures or competition between viruses. Experimental data indicate that previous exposure to USUV partially protects magpies against lethal challenge with WNV but does not prevent viraemia and possible direct transmission [52]. However, another study of experimental infection in geese showed that prior USUV infection protected the birds from clinical disease and led to significantly lower viraemia in a subsequent WNV infection [53]. In line with these results, field surveillance of migratory and resident birds in Germany identified WNV and USUV co-infection in six dead birds [54]. Further investigation is needed to clarify if USUV circulation among birds affects WNV transmission cycle and vice versa. In experimental infection of *Culex* mosquitoes, pre-infection with USUV significantly reduced WNV transmission [55]. In mice, prior immunity against WNV protected against subsequent USUV clinical illness [56] and vice versa [50].

Culex pipiens is considered the most common vector for USUV in EU/EEA countries although some other mosquito species, i.e. Cx. modestus, Cx. torrentium [57], Ae. albopictus [58] and Ae. japonicus [59], have been demonstrated to be potential vectors in laboratory studies. In nature, USUV has been detected in many other mosquito species, such as Cx. perexiguus, Anopheles maculipennis sensu lato, Culiseta annulata, Ochlerotatus caspius and Oc. detritus. However, their capability to maintain and transmit USUV needs to be demonstrated.

Analysis of data from peer-reviewed literature and the survey highlighted an increased scientific interest in USUV as an emerging pathogen and awareness about the risk of disease in humans. Several countries in the EU/EEA are investigating USUV circulation in animals and mosquitoes, mainly in the context of WNV surveillance and research and have included USUV testing in the differential diagnosis of patients with probable WNV infection. Some countries (Box) have developed a case definition for USUV infection in humans and animals and Italy also included it among notifiable diseases. Most EU/EEA countries have the laboratory capability for USUV testing in humans and animals, although generally limited to the reference laboratories.

Conclusion

In the past 10 years, at least half of the EU/EEA countries have found evidence of USUV infection in humans or animals. Most USUV infections in humans were asymptomatic and rarely associated with neuroinvasive disease and the impact of USUV on public health was much lower than for WNV. However, this could be because of lack of testing for USUV or not including USUV in the differential diagnosis of probable WNV cases. Further research will be required to better understand the epidemiology and the ecology of the virus and the impact of WNV and USUV co-circulation. To date USUV does not pose a major public health threat to the EU, but monitoring virus circulation and pathogenicity is important to early detect any change in the epidemiology of the disease.

*Author's correction

The number of cases with neurological symptoms was incorrect in the abstract (11 cases). This mistake was corrected at the request of the authors on 22 August 2023 (the correct number is 12).

Ethical statement

No ethical approval was required for this study, since we used public data and sources and already published, aggregated, anonymised surveillance data.

Funding statement

This manuscript was commissioned by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) under the negotiated procedure reference NP/2021/DPR/13083.

Data availability

Raw data are available upon request.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the experts from public health institutes, veterinary institutes and SoHO authorities in the EU/EEA who responded to the online survey. The authors would also like to thank Sotiria-Eleni Antoniou (European Food Safety Authority) for her support and contribution to the project.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualisation: GA, MB, CMG, JMA, TB, GC, LB. Formal Analysis: GA, MB, EL, MM, GM, AS, MT, CMG, JMA, TB, GC, LB. Data Collection: GA, MB, EL, MM, GM, AS, MT, CMG, JMA, TB, GC, LB. Methodology: GA, MB, EL, MM, GM, AS, MT, CMG, JMA, TB, GC, LB. Supervision: CMG, JMA, TB, GC, LB. Visualisation: GA, MB. Writing, original draft: GA, MB. Writing, review and editing: GA, MB, EL, MM, GM, AS, MT, CMG, JMA, TB, GC, LB.

References

- Weissenböck H, Bakonyi T, Rossi G, Mani P, Nowotny N. Usutu virus, Italy, 1996. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19(2):274-7. https:// doi.org/10.3201/eid1902.121191 PMID: 23347844
- Zecchin B, Fusaro A, Milani A, Schivo A, Ravagnan S, Ormelli S, et al. The central role of Italy in the spatial spread of USUTU virus in Europe. Virus Evol. 2021;7(1):veabo48. https://doi. org/10.1093/ve/veabo48 PMID: 34513027
- Scaramozzino P, Carvelli A, Bruni G, Cappiello G, Censi F, Magliano A, et al. West Nile and Usutu viruses co-circulation in central Italy: outcomes of the 2018 integrated surveillance. Parasit Vectors. 2021;14(1):243. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13071-021-04736-z PMID: 33962673
- Rijks JM, Kik ML, Slaterus R, Foppen R, Stroo A, IJzer J, et al. Widespread Usutu virus outbreak in birds in the Netherlands, 2016. Euro Surveill. 2016;21(45):30391. https://doi. org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.45.30391 PMID: 27918257
- Störk T, de le Roi M, Haverkamp AK, Jesse ST, Peters M, Fast C, et al. Analysis of avian Usutu virus infections in Germany from 2011 to 2018 with focus on dsRNA detection to demonstrate viral infections. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):24191. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03638-5 PMID: 34921222
- Bakonyi T, Jungbauer C, Aberle SW, Kolodziejek J, Dimmel K, Stiasny K, et al. Usutu virus infections among blood donors, Austria, July and August 2017 - Raising awareness for diagnostic challenges. Euro Surveill. 2017;22(41):17-00644. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.41.17-00644 PMID: 29043962
- Percivalle E, Sassera D, Rovida F, Isernia P, Fabbi M, Baldanti F, et al. Usutu virus antibodies in blood donors and healthy forestry workers in the Lombardy region, northern Italy. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2017;17(9):658-61. https://doi. org/10.1089/vbz.2017.2126 PMID: 28759350
- Zaaijer HL, Slot E, Molier M, Reusken CBEM, Koppelman MHGM. Usutu virus infection in Dutch blood donors. Transfusion. 2019;59(9):2931-7. https://doi.org/10.1111/ trf.15444 PMID: 31270821
- Faggioni G, De Santis R, Pomponi A, Grottola A, Serpini GF, Meacci M, et al. Prevalence of Usutu and West Nile virus antibodies in human sera, Modena, Italy, 2012. J Med Virol. 2018;90(10):1666-8. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25230 PMID: 29797606
- 10. Constant O, Gil P, Barthelemy J, Bolloré K, Foulongne V, Desmetz C, et al. One Health surveillance of West Nile and Usutu viruses: a repeated cross-sectional study exploring seroprevalence and endemicity in Southern France, 2016 to 2020. Euro Surveill. 2022;27(25):2200068. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022.27.25.2200068 PMID: 35748300
- 11. Pecorari M, Longo G, Gennari W, Grottola A, Sabbatini A, Tagliazucchi S, et al. First human case of Usutu virus neuroinvasive infection, Italy, August-September 2009. Euro Surveill. 2009;14(50):19446. https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.14.50.19446-en PMID: 20070936
- Cavrini F, Gaibani P, Longo G, Pierro AM, Rossini G, Bonilauri P, et al. Usutu virus infection in a patient who underwent orthotropic liver transplantation, Italy, August-September 2009. Euro Surveill. 2009;14(50):19448. https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.14.50.19448-en PMID: 20070935
- 13. Santini M, Vilibic-Cavlek T, Barsic B, Barbic L, Savic V, Stevanovic V, et al. First cases of human Usutu virus neuroinvasive infection in Croatia, August-September 2013: clinical and laboratory features. J Neurovirol. 2015;21(1):92-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13365-014-0300-4 PMID: 25361698

- 14. Simonin Y, Sillam O, Carles MJ, Gutierrez S, Gil P, Constant O, et al. Human Usutu virus infection with atypical neurologic presentation, Montpellier, France, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24(5):875-8. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2405.171122 PMID: 29664365
- 15. Pacenti M, Sinigaglia A, Martello T, De Rui ME, Franchin E, Pagni S, et al. Clinical and virological findings in patients with Usutu virus infection, northern Italy, 2018. Euro Surveill. 2019;24(47):1900180. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2019.24.47.1900180 PMID: 31771697
- 16. Vilibic-Cavlek T, Savic V, Sabadi D, Peric L, Barbic L, Klobucar A, et al. Prevalence and molecular epidemiology of West Nile and Usutu virus infections in Croatia in the 'One health' context, 2018. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2019;66(5):1946-57. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13225 PMID: 31067011
- 17. Percivalle E, Cassaniti I, Sarasini A, Rovida F, Adzasehoun KMG, Colombini I, et al. West Nile or Usutu virus? A three-year follow-up of humoral and cellular response in a group of asymptomatic blood donors. Viruses. 2020;12(2):157. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12020157 PMID: 32013152
- 18. Aberle SW, Kolodziejek J, Jungbauer C, Stiasny K, Aberle JH, Zoufaly A, et al. Increase in human West Nile and Usutu virus infections, Austria, 2018. Euro Surveill. 2018;23(43):1800545. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.43.1800545 PMID: 30376913
- Nagy A, Mezei E, Nagy O, Bakonyi T, Csonka N, Kaposi M, et al. Extraordinary increase in West Nile virus cases and first confirmed human Usutu virus infection in Hungary, 2018. Euro Surveill. 2019;24(28):1900038. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.28.1900038 PMID: 31311619
- 20. Allering L, Jöst H, Emmerich P, Günther S, Lattwein E, Schmidt M, et al. Detection of Usutu virus infection in a healthy blood donor from south-west Germany, 2012. Euro Surveill. 2012;17(50):20341. https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.17.50.20341-en PMID: 23241231
- 21. Bakonyi T, Erdélyi K, Brunthaler R, Dán Á, Weissenböck H, Nowotny N. Usutu virus, Austria and Hungary, 2010-2016. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2017;6(10):e85. PMID: 29018253
- 22. Weidinger P, Kolodziejek J, Bakonyi T, Brunthaler R, Erdélyi K, Weissenböck H, et al. Different dynamics of Usutu virus infections in Austria and Hungary, 2017-2018. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2020;67(1):298-307. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13351 PMID: 31505099
- 23. Benzarti E, Sarlet M, Franssen M, Cadar D, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Rivas JF, et al. Usutu virus epizootic in Belgium in 2017 and 2018: evidence of virus endemization and ongoing introduction events. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2020;20(1):43-50. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2019.2469 PMID: 31479400
- 24. Hönig V, Palus M, Kaspar T, Zemanova M, Majerova K, Hofmannova L, et al. Multiple lineages of Usutu virus (Flaviviridae, Flavivirus) in blackbirds (Turdus merula) and mosquitoes (Culex pipiens, Cx. modestus) in the Czech Republic (2016-2019). Microorganisms. 2019;7(11):568. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7110568 PMID: 31744087
- 25. Höfle U, Gamino V, de Mera IG, Mangold AJ, Ortíz JA, de la Fuente J. Usutu virus in migratory song thrushes, Spain. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19(7):1173-5. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1907.130199 PMID: 23764143
- 26. Guerrero-Carvajal F, Bravo-Barriga D, Martín-Cuervo M, Aguilera-Sepúlveda P, Ferraguti M, Jiménez-Clavero MÁ, et al. Serological evidence of co-circulation of West Nile and Usutu viruses in equids from western Spain. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2021;68(3):1432-44. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13810 PMID: 32853452
- Michel F, Sieg M, Fischer D, Keller M, Eiden M, Reuschel M, et al. Evidence for West Nile virus and Usutu virus infections in wild and resident birds in Germany, 2017 and 2018. Viruses. 2019;11(7):674. https://doi.org/10.3390/v11070674 PMID: 31340516
- 28. Ziegler U, Bergmann F, Fischer D, Müller K, Holicki CM, Sadeghi B, et al. Spread of West Nile virus and Usutu virus in the German bird population, 2019-2020. Microorganisms. 2022;10(4):807. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10040807 PMID: 35456857
- 29. Oude Munnink BB, Münger E, Nieuwenhuijse DF, Kohl R, van der Linden A, Schapendonk CME, et al. Genomic monitoring to understand the emergence and spread of Usutu virus in the Netherlands, 2016-2018. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):2798. https://doi.org/10.1038/541598-020-59692-y PMID: 32071379
- 30. Cadar D, Lühken R, van der Jeugd H, Garigliany M, Ziegler U, Keller M, et al. Widespread activity of multiple lineages of Usutu virus, western Europe, 2016. Euro Surveill. 2017;22(4):30452. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2017.22.4.30452 PMID: 28181903

- 31. Michel F, Fischer D, Eiden M, Fast C, Reuschel M, Müller K, et al. West Nile virus and Usutu virus monitoring of wild birds in Germany. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(1):171. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15010171 PMID: 29361762
- Llopis IV, Rossi L, Di Gennaro A, Mosca A, Teodori L, Tomassone L, et al. Further circulation of West Nile and Usutu viruses in wild birds in Italy. Infect Genet Evol. 2015;32:292-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.03.024 PMID: 25818400
- 33. Lauriano A, Rossi A, Galletti G, Casadei G, Santi A, Rubini S, et al. West Nile and Usutu viruses' surveillance in birds of the province of Ferrara, Italy, from 2015 to 2019. Viruses. 2021;13(7):1367. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13071367 PMID: 34372573
- 34. Constant O, Bollore K, Clé M, Barthelemy J, Foulongne V, Chenet B, et al. Evidence of exposure to USUV and WNV in zoo animals in France. Pathogens. 2020;9(12):1005. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9121005 PMID: 33266071
- 35. Kvapil P, Račnik J, Kastelic M, Bártová E, Korva M, Jelovšek M, et al. A sentinel serological study in selected zoo animals to assess early detection of West Nile and Usutu virus circulation in Slovenia. Viruses. 2021;13(4):626. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13040626 PMID: 33917545
- 36. Cano-Terriza D, Guerra R, Lecollinet S, Cerdà-Cuéllar M, Cabezón O, Almería S, et al. Epidemiological survey of zoonotic pathogens in feral pigeons (Columba livia var. domestica) and sympatric zoo species in Southern Spain. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2015;43:22-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2015.10.003 PMID: 26616657
- 37. Camp JV, Kolodziejek J, Nowotny N. Targeted surveillance reveals native and invasive mosquito species infected with Usutu virus. Parasit Vectors. 2019;12(1):46. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3316-z PMID: 30665453
- Klobucar A, Savic V, Curman Posavec M, Petrinic S, Kuhar U, Toplak I, et al. Screening of mosquitoes for West Nile virus and Usutu virus in Croatia, 2015-2020. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2021;6(2):45. https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed6020045 PMID: 33918386
- 39. Čabanová V, Tichá E, Bradbury RS, Zubriková D, Valentová D, Chovancová G, et al. Mosquito surveillance of West Nile and Usutu viruses in four territorial units of Slovakia and description of a confirmed autochthonous human case of West Nile fever, 2018 to 2019. Euro Surveill. 2021;26(19):2000063. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.19.2000063 PMID: 33988125
- Barzon L, Montarsi F, Quaranta E, Monne I, Pacenti M, Michelutti A, et al. Early start of seasonal transmission and cocirculation of West Nile virus lineage 2 and a newly introduced lineage 1 strain, northern Italy, June 2022. Euro Surveill. 2022;27(29):2200548. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2022.27.29.2200548. PMID: 35866436
- Folly AJ, Lawson B, Lean FZ, McCracken F, Spiro S, John SK, et al. Detection of Usutu virus infection in wild birds in the United Kingdom, 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(41):2001732. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.41.2001732 PMID: 33063656
- 42. Folly AJ, Sewgobind S, Hernández-Triana LM, Mansfield KL, Lean FZX, Lawson B, et al. Evidence for overwintering and autochthonous transmission of Usutu virus to wild birds following its redetection in the United Kingdom. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2022;69(6):3684-92. https://doi.org/10.1111/ tbed.14738 PMID: 36217722
- 43. Steinmetz HW, Bakonyi T, Weissenböck H, Hatt JM, Eulenberger U, Robert N, et al. Emergence and establishment of Usutu virus infection in wild and captive avian species in and around Zurich, Switzerland--genomic and pathologic comparison to other central European outbreaks. Vet Microbiol. 2011;148(2-4):207-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.09.018 PMID: 20980109
- 44. Kemenesi G, Buzás D, Zana B, Kurucz K, Krtinic B, Kepner A, et al. First genetic characterization of Usutu virus from Culex pipiens mosquitoes Serbia, 2014. Infect Genet Evol. 2018;63:58-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.05.012 PMID: 29778766
- 45. Capobianchi MR, Sambri V, Castilletti C, Pierro AM, Rossini G, Gaibani P, et al. Retrospective screening of solid organ donors in Italy, 2009, reveals unpredicted circulation of West Nile virus. Euro Surveill. 2010;15(34):19648. https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.34.19648-en PMID: 20807488
- 46. Costa AN, Capobianchi MR, Ippolito G, Palù G, Barzon L, Piccolo G, et al. West Nile virus: the Italian national transplant network reaction to an alert in the north-eastern region, Italy 2011. Euro Surveill. 2011;16(41):19991. PMID: 22008198
- 47. Benzarti E, Sarlet M, Franssen M, Desmecht D, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Garigliany MM. New insights into the susceptibility of immunocompetent mice to Usutu Virus. Viruses.

- 2020;12(2):189. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12020189 PMID: 32046265
- 48. Clé M, Barthelemy J, Desmetz C, Foulongne V, Lapeyre L, Bolloré K, et al. Study of Usutu virus neuropathogenicity in mice and human cellular models. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14(4):e0008223. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008223 PMID: 32324736
- 49. Riccetti S, Sinigaglia A, Desole G, Nowotny N, Trevisan M, Barzon L. Modelling West Nile virus and Usutu virus pathogenicity in human neural stem cells. Viruses. 2020;12(8):882. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12080882 PMID: 32806715
- Blázquez A-B, Escribano-Romero E, Martín-Acebes MA, Petrovic T, Saiz J-C. Limited susceptibility of mice to Usutu virus (USUV) infection and induction of flavivirus crossprotective immunity. Virology. 2015;482:67-71. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.03.020 PMID: 25827530
- Kuchinsky SC, Hawks SA, Mossel EC, Coutermarsh-Ott S, Duggal NK. Differential pathogenesis of Usutu virus isolates in mice. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14(10):e0008765. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008765 PMID: 33044987
- 52. Escribano-Romero E, Jiménez de Oya N, Camacho MC, Blázquez AB, Martín-Acebes MA, Risalde MA, et al. Previous Usutu virus exposure partially protects magpies (Pica pica) against West Nile virus disease but does not prevent horizontal transmission. Viruses. 2021;13(7):1409. https://doi. org/10.3390/v13071409 PMID: 34372622
- 53. Reemtsma H, Holicki CM, Fast C, Bergmann F, Groschup MH, Ziegler U. A prior Usutu virus infection can protect geese from severe West Nile disease. Pathogens. 2023;12(7):959. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12070959
- 54. Santos PD, Michel F, Wylezich C, Höper D, Keller M, Holicki CM, et al. Co-infections: Simultaneous detections of West Nile virus and Usutu virus in birds from Germany. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2022;69(2):776-92. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.14050 PMID: 33655706
- Wang H, Abbo SR, Visser TM, Westenberg M, Geertsema C, Fros JJ, et al. Competition between Usutu virus and West Nile virus during simultaneous and sequential infection of Culex pipiens mosquitoes. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;9(1):2642-52. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1854623 PMID: 33215969
- 56. Salgado R, Hawks SA, Frere F, Vázquez A, Huang CY, Duggal NK. West Nile virus vaccination protects against Usutu virus disease in mice. Viruses. 2021;13(12):2352. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13122352 PMID: 34960621
- 57. Holicki CM, Scheuch DE, Ziegler U, Lettow J, Kampen H, Werner D, et al. German Culex pipiens biotype molestus and Culex torrentium are vector-competent for Usutu virus. Parasit Vectors. 2020;13(1):625. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04532-1 PMID: 33380339
- 58. Puggioli A, Bonilauri P, Calzolari M, Lelli D, Carrieri M, Urbanelli S, et al. Does Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) play any role in Usutu virus transmission in Northern Italy? Experimental oral infection and field evidences. Acta Trop. 2017;172:192-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.05.006 PMID: 28495404
- 59. Abbo SR, Visser TM, Wang H, Göertz GP, Fros JJ, Abma-Henkens MHC, et al. The invasive Asian bush mosquito Aedes japonicus found in the Netherlands can experimentally transmit Zika virus and Usutu virus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14(4):e0008217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pntd.0008217 PMID: 32282830

License, supplementary material and copyright

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) Licence. You may share and adapt the material, but must give appropriate credit to the source, provide a link to the licence and indicate if changes were made.

Any supplementary material referenced in the article can be found in the online version.

This article is copyright of the authors or their affiliated institutions, 2023.